

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
11 et al.,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 PORT OF EVERETT,

15 Defendant.

CASE NO. C19-0843JLR

ORDER

16 It has come to the court's attention that the consent decree entered by the court on
17 July 24, 2019 (Consent Decree (Dkt. # 4)) lacks Appendices A-G, which the consent
18 decree explicitly incorporates. (*See* Consent Decree at 67 ("The following appendices
19 are attached to and incorporated into this Consent Decree: . . .").)¹


20 //

21
22 ¹ The court cites to the page numbers provided by the court's electronic filing system
throughout this order.

1 The same appendices were filed by the parties in their notice of lodging. (*See* Not. of
2 Lodging (Dkt. # 2) at 77-188.)

3 Accordingly, the court has entered an amended consent decree that attaches
4 Appendices A-G in the same form as they appear in the notice of lodging (Dkt. # 2 at
5 77-188), and which remains effective as of July 24, 2019—the date the court entered the
6 Consent Decree.

7 Dated this 3rd day of September, 2019.

8
9 

10 JAMES L. ROBART
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22